Fragmentation and constitutive response of tailored mesostructured aluminum compacts
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The fragmentation and constitutive response of aluminum-based compacts were examined under dynamic conditions using mesostructured powder compacts in which the interfaces between the powders (sizes of 40, 100, and 400 μm) were tailored during the swaging fabrication process. Fragmentation was induced in ring samples of this material through explosive loading and was examined through high-speed photography, laser interferometry, and soft capture of fragments. Fragment velocities of around 100 m/s were recorded. The fragment mass distributions obtained correlated in general with the interfacial strength of the compacts as well as with the powder size. Experimental results are compared with fragmentation theories to characterize the behavior of reactive powders based on the material’s mesostructure by introducing the fracture toughness of the compacts. The mean fragment size is calculated using a modified form of Mott’s theory and successfully compared with experimental results. © 2016 AIP Publishing LLC.

I. INTRODUCTION

The fragmentation of solids under dynamic loading is a subject of great importance, primarily in military applications, where the aim is to tailor the fragment size for high-explosive projectiles. Following the classic studies by Mott and coworkers1−8 in the early 1940s, starting with the report by Mott and Linfoot1 as part of the Allied war effort, a great deal of research has been conducted in this domain to predict and control fragmentation. The contribution by Grady9 expands the original Mott ideas in a remarkable manner by changing the statistical representations of fragmentation.

There are a number of theories regarding fragmentation, but the two most relevant to this study are the classic Mott and Grady theories. Mott1−8 based his theory on the earlier work of Lineau who modeled fragmentation as the random geometric fracture of an infinite one-dimensional body. Mott and Linfoot1 took the same random geometric fragmentation idea and applied it to a two-dimensional geometric model. They then formulated a fragment distribution law in the form:

\[ N(m) = \exp \left( -\frac{m^2}{\mu} \right) , \]

where \( N(m) \) is the cumulative fragment distribution, \( m \) is the mass of a fragment, and the average mass \( \mu \) is the distribution scale parameter, which is a measure of the statistical dispersion.

Later Grady and Kipp10 suggested that if fragmentation can be represented by mechanism-independent statistical descriptions, then fragment mass, as opposed to fragment size, is the more fitting random variable. They also suggested that the mass of the fragment is distributed over the fragment number based on a Poisson process, or binomial if the fragment number is small, similar to the earlier developments of Lineau. From this suggestion, they proposed the following linear exponential distribution:

\[ N(m) = \exp \left( -\frac{m}{\mu} \right) . \] (2)

An alternative explanation for the nature of mechanism-independent statistical description was developed by Davydova et al.11 Based on statistical regularities in the fragmentation of cylindrical quartz specimens under dynamic loading, it was determined that scaling invariance is the physical reason for the mechanism-independent statistical laws. The results of the experimental data processing in the study showed that the size distribution for the fragment size is described by a power function.

In this paper, we report experimental results of explosive expanding ring tests of tailored mesostructured aluminum compacts. We analyze the constitutive response of each aluminum compact through quasi-static and dynamic mechanical tests in order to predict the fragment sizes with a modified Mott theory that utilizes the fracture toughness of the compacts. We observe with analysis of the results that the modified Mott theory we developed successfully predicts the fragment sizes for most of the compacts.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND SAMPLE PROCESSING

The primary motivation of the current research was to tailor the fragmentation response of aluminum by changing...
the interfacial cohesion between particles. Two variables were altered for this purpose. The first variable was the particle size; three different aluminum compacts were used: $\sim 40\ \mu m$ aluminum particles (Figure 1(a)), $\sim 100\ \mu m$ aluminum particles (Figure 1(b)), and $\sim 400\ \mu m$ aluminum particles (Figure 1(c)). Figure 1 shows the morphologies of the different particle sizes through images taken by scanning electron microscopy conducted using a FEI XL30-SFEG SEM. The $\sim 40\ \mu m$ size Al powder had relatively homogeneous shapes and particle sizes, mainly being smooth and spherical. The $\sim 400\ \mu m$ size Al powder consisted of more complicated shapes and a substantial variety of particle sizes (from 350 to 500 $\mu m$), so steel sieves were used to narrow the distribution and center it around 400 $\mu m$. The $\sim 100\ \mu m$ size Al powder also had complex morphology, but the particle size distribution (100–125 $\mu m$) was more tightly defined and sieving was not required. The $\sim 40\ \mu m$ size Al powder has a greater tendency to agglomeration partly because of the large increases of surface area to volume ratio and the fact that the van der Waals attraction forces become gradually more relevant as the grain size is reduced.\textsuperscript{12} As a result, the 40 $\mu m$ size Al particles have a spongy (or porous) arrangement. The other variable changed was the strength of the interface through a sample processing technique based on powder densification.

A. Swaging

Swaging, illustrated in Figure 2(a), is a standard forging process where a rapidly rotating die uniformly reduces the diameter of a tube or rod by cold working. Aluminum powders of approximate sizes 40, 100, and 400 $\mu m$ were compacted into tubes of AISI 304 stainless steel in an Instron 5582, as depicted in Figure 2(b), and then were swaged to a smaller diameter using a Fenn 5 F swager. Tight fitting 12.7 mm rods of Al 6061 were secured in the center of the tubes prior to the compaction of the powders and swaging. The rods were machined out later along with the stainless steel jackets to generate hollow cylinders with 30 mm outside diameter and 22 mm inside diameter that were cut into 4 mm thick rings, an example of which is shown in Figure 2(d). In the case of the 100 $\mu m$ powder, the center rod was also varied in size to 18.8 mm or removed to observe the effect of different degrees of compaction. As the compaction increases one expects more adhesion between the individual particles\textsuperscript{13} because of the greater amount of plastic deformation. The presence of the core increases the amount of plastic deformation for a certain fraction of densification because the particles are not only brought together but there is also significant interparticle shear and breaking of surface oxides.

B. Expanding ring technique

The rings described in Sec. II were used for explosively driven fragmentation tests conducted using the experimental set-up shown in Figure 3(a). This experimental setup provides high speed photography of the ring expansion, time resolved velocimetry of the radial expansion of the ring, and soft capture of the ring fragments to allow for post fracture analysis.\textsuperscript{14} The ring is loaded through the use of a column of explosives contained within a “transmitter” tube. A steel cylinder coated with approximately 20 mm of paraffin wax is placed

![FIG. 1. SEM micrographs of the different Al powder particle sizes: (a) 40 $\mu m$; (b) 100 $\mu m$; and (c) 400 $\mu m$.](image-url)
around the specimen so that the fragments slow to a stop before impinging on the steel, eliminating the chance of secondary deformation. High speed photography is conducted parallel to the cylinder axis through the setup shown in Figure 3(b). The radial expansion velocity of the ring is measured by a Photon Doppler Velocimetry system with the probe being a bare fiber placed approximately 3–5 mm from the ring.

C. Mechanical testing

Small cylindrical samples with dimensions of 4 mm (length) × 3 mm (diameter) were machined from the inside of the swaged aluminum rings, parallel to the ring axis. These samples were mechanically tested in a universal testing machine (Model Instron 3370) and split Hopkinson pressure bar. The samples were compressed quasi-statically with the Instron at strain rates of 10⁻², 10⁻³, and 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹. For the dynamic testing, a copper pulse-shaper with a high work-hardening rate was utilized to create a long rise time and nearly square strain rate pulse. Strain rates of ~2.5 × 10³ s⁻¹ were attained through this technique. Vickers indentation experiments were conducted on the rings in order to characterize the microhardness of the different particle sizes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Mechanical response

The quasi-static compression test results (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)) revealed that the swaged 400 µm aluminum samples have the highest yield stresses (~127 MPa), followed by the swaged 40 µm aluminum samples (~94 MPa), and then the swaged 100 µm aluminum samples (~75 MPa with no core, ~68 MPa with 18.8 mm core, and ~56 MPa with 12.7 mm core). The dynamic yield stresses, shown in Figure 4(c), varied from 75 to 150 MPa and are moderately higher than the quasi-static values. The order of highest dynamic yield stresses was consistent with the quasi-static results. There is a slight incompatibility for the 100 µm specimen with 12.7 mm core at the 10⁻³ s⁻¹ strain rate. The quasi-static and dynamic yield stresses are plotted as a function of strain rate in Figure 4(d). From that plot the strain-rate sensitivity, m, a parameter that measures how much a material's flow stress and work-hardening rate may be affected by strain rate, of the aluminum compacts can be determined.

The strain-rate sensitivity is defined as $m = \partial \ln \sigma / \partial \ln \varepsilon$. The low strain-rate sensitivity is consistent with pure aluminum, which has been investigated earlier. The strain-rate sensitivity and microindentation Vickers hardness values (VHN)
of the rings are shown in Table I. M is not significantly affected by the processing parameters, whereas the hardness is as expected.

B. Fracture toughness determination

In order to predict fragment sizes using the modified Mott equation developed in this study (Section III C 3), fracture toughness values must be determined for the various conditions of swaged Al powder compacts. Experiments were conducted to obtain the fracture toughness values using the ASTM standard test method for linear-elastic plane-strain fracture toughness of metallic materials (ASTM E399) with some slight variations. Arc-shaped tension specimens (as seen in the high speed stills in Figure 5) were constructed from the swaged aluminum rings by sectioning them in half and cutting a starter notch in the center of the half-rings. However, instead of machining loading holes that could affect the behavior of the swaged materials, loading fixtures were constructed to allow for tensile testing without the necessity of holes in the specimens. The modification of the supports from the ones recommended in ASTM E399 is not thought to alter the effectiveness of the testing procedure significantly.

During the tensile tests, a high speed camera was used to measure the displacement of the crack mouth instead of displacement gages. The crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD) was measured frame by frame and compared to the force-time record to obtain a force-CMOD record as shown in Figure 6. The conditional force is used to calculate the fracture toughness value by using the procedure detailed in ASTM E 399 and the following equation:

\[
K_Q = \frac{P_Q}{B \sqrt{W}} \left( 3 \frac{X}{W} + 1.9 + 1.1 \frac{a}{W} \right) \cdot \left[ 1 + 0.25 \left( 1 - \frac{a}{W} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{r_1}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot f \left( \frac{a}{W} \right), \quad (3)
\]

where \[f \left( \frac{a}{W} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{(1-\#)^{3/4}} \left[ 3.74 - 6.30 \left( \frac{a}{W} \right) + 6.32 \left( \frac{a}{W} \right)^2 - 2.43 \left( \frac{a}{W} \right)^3 \right]. \]

B is the specimen thickness, X is the loading offset, W is the width (depth) of the specimen, \(a\) is the crack size, and
$r_1/r_2$ is the ratio of inner-to-outer radii. The measured fracture toughness values of the compacts are presented later in Table III along with the predicted fragment sizes calculated from these values.

C. Fragmentation

As described previously, the fragmentation of the rings in the expanding ring experiments was captured with a high speed photography system set up parallel to the cylinder axis. The photographs are shown in Figures 7–11. The approximate number of fragments from each experiment is shown in Table II. The mass of each fragment from the experiments was measured for a statistical analysis with the exception of the 100 μm swaged Al powder ring with no core, which produced a large majority of fragments so small in mass they could not easily be statistically characterized.

1. Characterization of fracture

Scanning electron microscopy was used to characterize the failure morphology in fragments of the rings. The distinction between the fragment mass distributions of the rings is consistent with the failure morphologies seen in Figures 12 and 13. The outer deformed fracture surfaces of the swaged 40 and 400 μm aluminum fragments (Figures 12(a) and 12(b)) exhibit wavy and uneven surfaces that are typical of ductile deformation. The dimple morphology demonstrated on the edges of these fragments is characteristic of ductile failure as well. In contrast, the fragments of the swaged 100 μm aluminum rings, shown in Figures 13(a)–13(c)), demonstrate features that are indicative of a brittle failure such as interfacial cracking. The dissimilarity in the fracture surfaces exemplifies how a brittle failure induces the production of more fragments.

2. Fragment size distribution

The fragment mass distribution from each expanding ring experiment with the exception of the 100 μm swaged Al powder ring with no core was analyzed. There are multiple fragment mass distribution laws, but for this analysis the classic Mott and Grady distributions were used. Figures
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TABLE I. Micro-hardness results for the different compacts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compacts</th>
<th>Strain-rate sensitivity</th>
<th>Hardness (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 μm Al (12.7 mm core)</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 μm Al (No core)</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 μm Al (12.7 mm core)</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 μm Al (18.8 mm core)</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 μm Al (18.8 mm core)</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. Constitutive response of compacts in compression: (a) $10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$; (b) $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$; (c) $2.5 \times 10^{3}$ s$^{-1}$; and (d) combined plot showing the yield stress as a function of strain rate.
Illustrate the comparison of cumulative fragment mass distributions. It is difficult to establish a relationship when there are few fragments, such as in the case of the swaged 40 and 400 µm aluminum fragments (Figures 14(a) and 14(b)), but it is evident in the swaged 100 µm aluminum distributions (Figures 14(c) and 14(d)) that the Grady distribution provides a better description of the mass distribution of fragments generated in the expanding ring experiments.

3. Prediction of mean fragment sizes

Mott and Linfoot proposed, in 1943, a simple theory for the prediction of mean fragment sizes based on an energy balance, akin to the Griffith theory for crack propagation. Although many more complex analyses have been developed since then, the fundamental analysis by Mott will be used for our purpose as it is the most basic analysis to expand upon. The Mott equation will be presented here, with a slight modification. The basic derivation of the Mott-Linfoot equation is presented first. Figure 15 shows a schematic of a ring expanding and fragmenting; a segment of size \(a\) just prior to fragmentation is shown in Figure 15(b). The radial velocity is \(V_r\). Since the ring is expanding, the extremities also have a tangential velocity \(V_t\). The arc has an angle \(\alpha\) and therefore we have the following, after the arc has expanded to \(r + dr\):

\[
V_t = \frac{dr}{dt}, \quad (4)
\]

\[
V_r = \frac{da}{2dt}, \quad (5)
\]

Thus

\[
V_t = \frac{daV_l}{2dr}. \quad (6)
\]

But

\[
a = x\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad da = xdr. \quad (7)
\]

Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6)

\[
V_t = \frac{zV_r}{2}. \quad (8)
\]

These are the velocities of both the upper and lower edges of the segment of size \(a\). The kinetic energy due to the expansion of the ring can be calculated per unit of length parallel to the axis of the disk. First consider an origin moving within the fragment and then define an angle \(\alpha\) that is marked in Figure 15. The velocity varies with increasing \(\alpha\) as

\[
V_t = \frac{zV_r}{2}. \quad (9)
\]

The mass per unit of length along the axis of a sliver defined by \(d\alpha\) is expressed as

\[
dm = \rho tdx, \quad (10)
\]

where \(t\) is the thickness of the ring, \(rd\alpha\) is the length of the sliver, and \(\rho\) is the density of the material. Thus, the kinetic energy is

FIG. 5. Several frames from the high-speed recording of the fracture toughness test with the loading fixtures attached at the top and bottom of the specimen: (a) frame captured from the beginning of the test; (b) frame captured at the onset of fracture; (c) frame captured just before complete fracture and failure; and (d) frame showing the end of the test.
The total kinetic energy for the entire segment is obtained by integrating from \(-z/2\) to \(+z/2\)

\[
E_k = \int_{-z/2}^{+z/2} dE_k = \int_{-z/2}^{+z/2} \frac{1}{2} \rho tr \frac{x^2 V_t^2}{4} dx = \frac{1}{24} \rho tr V_t^2 \alpha^3.
\]  

(12)

Mott\(^1\) made the simple assumption that the kinetic energy was converted into the energy to generate two cracks at the extremities of the fragment. Since the number of cracks is equal to the number of fragments, only one crack has to be considered per fragment. Here we replace Mott and Linfoot’s energy per unit area required to form a crack by the energy release rate, \(G\), which expresses the same. For a thickness \(t\)

\[
\frac{1}{24} \rho tr V_t^2 \alpha^3 = Gt.
\]  

(13)

The fracture toughness, \(K_{fc}\), is introduced through the simple relationship

\[
G = \frac{K_{fc}^2}{E},
\]  

(14)

where \(E\) is the Young’s modulus. Thus, the fragment size can be obtained by substituting Eqs. (7) and (14) into Eq. (13)

\[
a = \left(\frac{24r^2 K_{fc}^2}{V_t \rho E}\right)^{1/3}.
\]  

(15)

The introduction of the strain energy (a potential energy term) into the Mott theory can be simply effected through the addition of

\[
E_p = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{y, e}\right)at.
\]  

(16)

By using Hooke’s law, since the plastic energy is not recoverable

\[
E_p = \frac{\sigma_y^2}{2E}at.
\]  

(17)

Adding Eq. (17) to the first term of Eqs. (13) and (14) to the second term we arrive at

\[
a^3 + \frac{24r^2 \sigma_y^2}{2E \rho V_t^2} a - \frac{24r^2 K_{fc}^2}{E \rho V_t^2} = 0.
\]  

(18)

Eq. (18) shows that, for a fixed velocity, the fragment size is a function of both the strength and fracture toughness. The solution of this incomplete third order equation

FIG. 6. Force-Crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD) records from the fracture toughness tests with secant lines drawn to obtain the conditional fracture forces of various compacts: (a) 40 \(\mu\)m particle compact; (b) 400 \(\mu\)m particle compact; (c) 100 \(\mu\)m with 18.8 mm core compact; and (d) 100 \(\mu\)m particle with no core compact.
FIG. 7. Sequence showing initiation of cracking and fragmentation in compact from 40 \( \mu \)m powder. Arrow in (d) provides approximate magnitude of fragment length.

FIG. 8. Sequence showing initiation of cracking and fragmentation in compact from 400 \( \mu \)m powder. Arrow in (d) provides approximate magnitude of fragment length.
FIG. 9. Sequence showing initiation of cracking and fragmentation in compact from 100 μm powder with an 18.8 mm core. Arrow in (d) provides approximate magnitude of fragment length.

FIG. 10. Sequence showing initiation of cracking and fragmentation in compact from 100 μm powder with a 12.7 mm core. Arrow in (d) provides approximate magnitude of fragment length.
\[ a^3 + pa + q = 0 \] by Cardano’s method gives the following only real root:

\[ a = \left( -\frac{q}{2} + \frac{D^{1/2}}{2} \right)^{1/3} + \left( -\frac{q}{2} - \frac{D^{1/2}}{2} \right)^{1/3}, \tag{19} \]

where \( D = \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^3 + \left( \frac{q}{2} \right)^2 \).

We apply Eq. (18) to aluminum rings, keeping in mind that the tailored microstructures introduced by compaction and swaging of the powders reduces the fracture toughness significantly from the original value of 60 MPa m\(^{1/2}\), which can be assumed for annealed 1100 aluminum. We take five different arbitrary values of \( K_{\text{ic}} \) to demonstrate its effect on the mean fragment size: 100, 50, 10, 5, and 1 MPa m\(^{1/2}\). For the calculations, the following values were used: \( V_l = 100 \) and 500 m/s; \( E = 70 \) GPa; \( \rho = 2.7 \times 10^3 \) kg/m\(^3\); and \( r = 12 \times 10^{-3} \) m.

One factor to consider is that, once the fragment size becomes smaller than \( t \), the ring thickness, fragments tend to become equiaxed and the equations no longer apply. A conversion to fragment mass is in order at this point. One can set, to a first approximation, mass = \( \rho a^3 \). Figure 15(c) shows predictions of the modified Mott theory for fragment size as a function of fracture toughness. Thus once a fracture toughness value is attained, it can be used with other experimentally determined values in Eq. (18) to predict a mean fragment size. The calculated fracture toughness values and

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Compacts & 40 \mu m Al (12.7 mm core) & 100 \mu m Al (No core) & 100 \mu m Al (12.7 mm core) & 100 \mu m Al (18.8 mm core) & 400 \mu m Al (18.8 mm core) \\
\hline
Fragment number & 8 & \sim 1000 & \sim 700 & \sim 600 & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Number of fragments from expanding ring tests of swaged Al compacts.}
\end{table}

\[ \text{Table II. Number of fragments from expanding ring tests of swaged Al compacts.} \]

FIG. 11. Sequence showing initiation of cracking and fragmentation in compact from 100 \mu m powder with no core. Arrow in (d) provides approximate magnitude of fragment length.

FIG. 12. Fracture surfaces from expanding ring tests: (a) compact from 40 \mu m powder; (b) compact from 400 \mu m powder. Note the rolling uneven surfaces in both fractures that are indicative of ductile deformation and at a high magnification in the 40 \mu m fracture surface, shown by the inset image, there is visible elongated dimple morphology.
The experimentally measured mean fragment sizes for each of the compacts are shown in Table III.

The experimentally measured mean fragment sizes of the swaged aluminum are compared to the predicted values based on measured fracture toughness using the modified Mott theory (Eq. (18)) in Figure 16. The predicted response is given by the dot dashed, dashed, and dotted lines for yield stresses of 50, 100, and 150 MPa, respectively; the experimentally
measured fragment sizes are given by the solid line. The yield stress for each condition is marked in the plot. With the exception of the ~40 µm aluminum swaged with a 12.7 mm core (\(K_t = 3.04\) MPa m^{1/2}; \(\sigma_y = 94\) MPa), the experimentally measured mean fragment sizes correlate reasonably well with the predictions, being higher than the predicted in all cases. This can be due to fractures that did not open completely and therefore leading to two or more fragments being counted as one. The aluminum with the lowest fracture toughness, the ~100 µm aluminum swaged with no core, could actually be closer to the predictions if not for the fact that the fragments were so small that they were compacted together during the explosion in the expanding ring experiment as shown in Figure 17. Therefore, the modified Mott theory could be used to predict fragment sizes for materials within ranges of yield stresses typical to that material.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Aluminum compacts of different particle sizes were processed through swaging. Quasi-static and dynamic compression tests were conducted to analyze the constitutive response of the compacts. Particle size and degree of compaction were determined to have a direct effect on constitutive response of the swaged aluminum. Fracture toughness values for the modified Mott equation were attained using the ASTM standard test method for linear-elastic plane-strain fracture toughness of metallic materials (ASTM E399) with some slight variations. Expanding ring tests were also conducted on the compacts to examine the fragmentation behavior of the compacts. Scanning electron microscopy was utilized to investigate the fracture response of the swaged Al rings, the swaged 400 µm and 40 µm particle Al rings.

**TABLE III. Measured fracture toughness values and predicted and measured mean fragment sizes for the different compacts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compacts</th>
<th>40 µm Al (12.7 mm core)</th>
<th>100 µm Al (No core)</th>
<th>100 µm Al (12.7 mm core)</th>
<th>100 µm Al (18.8 mm core)</th>
<th>400 µm Al (18.8 mm core)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fracture toughness value (MPa m^{1/2})</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted mean fragment size (mm)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental mean fragment size (mm)</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 15.** Analysis of fragmentation following Mott: (a) fragmentation of ring into segments; (b) segment of ring with parameters used in derivation; and (c) predictions of Mott and modified Mott theory: fragment size as a function of fracture toughness for aluminum at two velocities: \(V_l = 100\) and 500 m/s.
faces. Fragmentation number statistics of the swaged face contamination of the powders which led to weaker interl reason for the weaker compacts from 100 the more brittle swaged 100 l
demonstrated ductile fracture behavior, while the swaged 100 µm particle Al rings showed features indicative of a brittle material failure. The more ductile swaged 400 µm and 40 µm particle Al rings produced far fewer fragments than the more brittle swaged 100 µm particle Al rings. A possible reason for the weaker compacts from 100 µm particles is surface contamination of the powders which led to weaker interfaces. Fragmentation number statistics of the swaged aluminum tend to follow a Grady distribution more so than a Mott distribution. Fragment mean size predictions were attempted with a modified Mott equation similar to the Grady-Kipp theory. The experimentally measured mean fragment sizes were found to be close to the predictions of fragment size based on fracture toughness by the modified Mott theory in all but one of the conditions of swaged aluminum as observed in Figure 16. For this condition, the particles were swaged most successfully producing a much higher fracture toughness than anticipated.
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